UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,689	09/27/2001	Lisa Denney	1875.0480000	8993
26111 STERNE KES	7590 07/18/2007 SLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.	PHAN, MAN U		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/963,689	DENNEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Man Phan	2616		

Defense the Elling of an Annual Dilet							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Man Phan	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
 . ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / anonamone	(1.02.02.1).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See attached sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
 2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. ☐ Other: 							

Application/Control Number: 09/963,689

Art Unit: 2616

Advisory Action

Page 2

1. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. It's the examiner's position that the reference is applied herein for the teaching of a novel method and system for classifying and prioritizing signals at the physical interface of a headend device. As presented in the last office action, the Applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 2 of Tsao et al. (US#2002/0131413) for scheduling packets comprising the steps of: receiving a packet; identifying a flow for the packet; classifying the packet based on the identified flow; and buffering the packet in one of a plurality of queues (priority queues) based on the classification of the packet (the process of determining a priority classification). In general, cable modem scheduler is responsible for multiplexing the internal traffic, (i.e., requesting the necessary bandwidth that cable modem needs to transfer its current types of data). Cable modem scheduler must take into consideration the different priorities given to the current data to be transferred and to request bandwidth from CMTS accordingly. In the same field of endeavor, Nazarathy et al. (US#6,490,727) discloses in Figs. 10-11 block diagrams illustrated methods and systems for controlling communications traffic across an upstream traffic channel at a headend of a cable modem termination system (CMTS), including a burst receiver, a spectrum analyzer for analyzing data stream received by the burst receiver, and a media access controller interface that receives a command from a media access controller, wherein the spectrum analyzer provides a spectral analysis of a packet received by the burst receiver and corresponding to a

Application/Control Number: 09/963,689

Art Unit: 2616

subscriber ID (SID) provided by the command from the media access controller (Col. 9, lines 54 plus).

Page 3

Applicant argument with respect to the rejected claims 26-27 that that "one of ordinary skill in the art would understand classifying "at a physical interface" as classifying at the physical layer" (Remark - page 8). However, It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc., 7 USPQ2d 1064. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Examiner maintains that the references cited and applied in the last office actions for the rejection of the claims 1-33 are maintained in this office action. The final rejection mailed on March 13, 2007 is therefore maintained.

Mphan.

07/16/2007

MAN UL**PHAN** PRIMARY **EXAMINER**